

537,823

(12) INTERNATIONAL APPLICATION PUBLISHED UNDER THE PATENT COOPERATION TREATY (PCT)

(19) World Intellectual Property
Organization
International Bureau



08 JUN 2005



(43) International Publication Date
24 June 2004 (24.06.2004)

PCT

(10) International Publication Number
WO 2004/053194 A1

(51) International Patent Classification⁷: **C23C 22/48**,
22/53, 22/60, 22/68, C09D 1/00

Frankie, Kok, Wai [AU/AU]; 4 Solway Close, Fern-
tree Gully, Victoria 3156 (AU). **SHERMAN, Natalie**
[AU/AU]; 4/19 Narong Road, North Caulfield, Victoria
3161 (AU).

(21) International Application Number:
PCT/AU2003/001644

(74) Agents: **DARK, Andrew** et al.; Davies Collison Cave, 1
Nicholson Street, Melbourne, Victoria 3000 (AU).

(22) International Filing Date: 9 December 2003 (09.12.2003)

(25) Filing Language: English

(81) Designated States (*national*): AE, AG, AL, AM, AT, AU,
AZ, BA, BB, BG, BR, BW, BY, BZ, CA, CH, CN, CO, CR,
CU, CZ, DE, DK, DM, DZ, EC, EE, EG, ES, FI, GB, GD,
GE, GH, GM, HR, HU, ID, IL, IN, IS, JP, KE, KG, KP, KR,
KZ, LC, LK, LR, LS, LT, LU, LV, MA, MD, MG, MK, MN,
MW, MX, MZ, NI, NO, NZ, OM, PG, PH, PL, PT, RO, RU,
SC, SD, SE, SG, SK, SL, SY, TJ, TM, TN, TR, TT, TZ, UA,
UG, US, UZ, VC, VN, YU, ZA, ZM, ZW.

(26) Publication Language: English

(30) Priority Data:
2002953190 9 December 2002 (09.12.2002) AU

(71) Applicant (*for all designated States except US*): **COM-
MONWEALTH SCIENTIFIC AND INDUSTRIAL
RESEARCH ORGANISATION** [AU/AU]; Limestone
Avenue, Campbell, Australian Capital Territory 2601
(AU).

(84) Designated States (*regional*): ARIPO patent (BW, GH,
GM, KE, LS, MW, MZ, SD, SL, SZ, TZ, UG, ZM, ZW),
Eurasian patent (AM, AZ, BY, KG, KZ, MD, RU, TJ, TM),
European patent (AT, BE, BG, CH, CY, CZ, DE, DK, EE,
ES, FI, FR, GB, GR, HU, IE, IT, LU, MC, NL, PT, RO, SE,
SI, SK, TR), OAPI patent (BF, BJ, CF, CG, CI, CM, GA,
GN, GQ, GW, ML, MR, NE, SN, TD, TG).

(72) Inventors; and

(75) Inventors/Applicants (*for US only*): **COLE, Ivan**,
Stewart [AU/AU]; 63 Pakington Street, Kew, Victoria
3101 (AU). **FULLSTON, Damian** [AU/AU]; 30 Albert
Place, Dingley, Victoria 3172 (AU). **FURMAN, Scott**,
Anthony [CA/AU]; 13 Sweet Wattle Drive, Cranbourne
South, Victoria 3977 (AU). **MUSTER, Tim, Harvey**
[AU/AU]; 2/27 Kiong Street, Clayton, Victoria 3168
(AU). **NEUFELD, Aaron, Kiffer** [CA/AU]; 2/29 Sher-
wood Avenue, Chelsea, Victoria 3196 (AU). **CHAN,**

Published:

— with international search report

For two-letter codes and other abbreviations, refer to the "Guid-
ance Notes on Codes and Abbreviations" appearing at the begin-
ning of each regular issue of the PCT Gazette.

(54) Title: AQUEOUS COATING SOLUTIONS AND METHOD FOR THE TREATMENT OF A METAL SURFACE

(57) Abstract: A coating solution for providing a corrosion resistant coating to a metal surface including: a water soluble silicate; and at least one metal ion (X) selected from those having a valence of less than or equal to +4; wherein said coating solution forms an aqueous silicate-X network such that the silicate remains soluble, and wherein on contact with a metal surface (Y) a coating comprising of silicate-X and Y is formed.

WO 2004/053194 A1

- 1 -

AQUEOUS COATING SOLUTIONS AND METHOD FOR THE TREATMENT OF A METAL SURFACE

The present invention relates to an aqueous coating solution and methods for the
5 treatment of a metal surface. Metal surfaces treated with such coating solutions and/or by
such methods are also provided.

More particularly, the invention relates to coating solutions which provide
corrosion resistance to metal surfaces by forming a silicate network on the metal surface
10 which has at least some Si atoms of the silicate network replaced by other metals ions
having a valence of less than or equal to +4. This replacement imparts ion-exchange
abilities to the network and helps maintain surface charge down to low pH values of
around 3 (Iler, 1979). These properties lead to a number of significant advantages and in
particular they permit the incorporation of additional metal cations into the network.

15

The coating of metal surfaces to impart corrosion resistance to the substrate is
known. So called "conversion coatings", and in particular chromium conversion coatings
have been used for corrosion protection of iron, magnesium, aluminium, zinc and their
alloys for over 50 years (Cotell et al., 1999). Conversion coatings are generally easily
20 applied, are applicable to a wide range of metals and alloys and under certain conditions
provide excellent adhesion for primers and paints.

Chromate conversion coatings are generally superior in their corrosion protection
as they have a "self-healing" nature (Zhao et al., 2001). That is, they provide active
25 corrosion protection. Chromate conversion coatings provide active corrosion protection in
that chromium(VI) is released from the coating, a mixture of hydrated amorphous Cr(III)-
Cr(VI) oxides, transported through the corrosive solution as soluble Cr(VI) oxy-anions,
and reduced at the site of the damage. Active corrosion protection is critical where the
conversion coating is the primary protection against corrosion. Such protection is
30 maintained even if the treated surface is subject to minor mechanical or chemical damage.

- 2 -

However, chromium is considered a toxic substance, the hexavalent form being a known carcinogen which is environmentally hazardous as a waste product. Indeed, current legislation is moving towards the total exclusion of Cr(VI) and its use in the metal finishing industry is therefore limited. As a result, moves have been made to develop chromium free conversion coatings, including coatings based on silicates, zirconium, titanium, cerium, phosphates, permanganates and hydrotalcites (Gray, 2002). Unfortunately, few of these coatings exhibit comparable corrosion protection to chromate based systems and their use has therefore been limited to some extent. Among the most promising candidates being considered as active corrosion inhibitors are cerium compounds, permanganates, molybdates, vanadates and phosphates (Sinko, 2001).

It has been found that sealed complex oxides, such as Ce or Mn sealed lithium-hydrotalcite, can exhibit active corrosion protection on aluminium alloys (Buchheit et al., 2000). However, rare earth conversion coatings have generally relied on the role of the rare earth in inhibiting the cathodic reaction, and to a lesser extent forming a stable oxide (Hinton, 1995).

Advantageously, the present invention is able to treat a metal surface to establish a coating that provides a predetermined surface chemistry that improves corrosion resistance. Further, the invention advantageously provides methods that may be used in existing treatment facilities and existing treatment baths with minimal alteration to those facilities.

According to one aspect of the invention there is provided a coating solution for providing a corrosion resistant coating to a metal surface. The coating solution includes a water soluble silicate and at least one metal ion (X) selected from those having a valence of less than or equal to +4, which then forms an aqueous silicate-X network such that the silicate remains soluble. When a metal surface (Y) comes in contact with this solution a coating consisting of silicate-X and Y is formed in part because of the ion-exchange properties of the silicate-X network.

Generally speaking, the coating layer provides the surface of the substrate with a predetermined pH_{IEP} , being the pH where the net surface charge is zero as measured by IEP (Isoelectric Point), such that at pH values greater than the pH_{IEP} the surface is negatively charged and will therefore repel negatively charged ions. If a variation occurs in the cross-sectional composition of the coating, it should be controlled so that the pH_{IEP} of the coating is lowest at the atmosphere-coating surface and highest at the coating-metal interface. Preferably the coating solution is composed such that the resulting coating layer on the metal surface has a pH_{IEP} of less than about 3.5 (at the atmosphere-coating interface) such that with surface waters at a pH of greater than 3.5 the coated surface of the metal is negatively charged. Even more preferably, the resulting coating layer has a pH_{IEP} of less than 2.5 at the atmosphere-coating interface.

It has been recognised by the present inventors that the coating solutions, as outlined, create a coating over the metal surface that provides a negative surface charge at most pH values, particularly at pH values greater than 3.5 and in some embodiments as low as 2 – 2.5. It is noted in this regard that acid rain has a pH of about 3. As the charge on the coated metal surface is negative at pH values above the pH_{IEP} , negatively charged species in the surface water, such as chloride, sulfate and nitrate ions, are repelled by the surface (Kendig, 1999; Sato, 1989). This helps inhibit corrosion of the substrate. The magnitude of the negative charge on the coated surface at pH 3 becomes larger as the pH_{IEP} is lowered, resulting in stronger repulsion of corrosive negative ions. Typical pH_{IEP} values of common phosphate and chromate(III) chemical conversion coatings are in the range of 5.6 and 7.0, respectively (Reinhard, 1987 ; Sato, 1989).

The water soluble silicate is not particularly limited in its selection provided that it is capable of forming a network which may exchange at least some of the Si atoms with metal ions X. Preferably, the water soluble silicate is selected from an alkali metal or ammonium silicate, meta-silicate, ortho-silicate, pyro-silicate, waterglass, silicic acid, silica, colloidal silica, silicon dioxide or an organic-silicate precursor. More particularly, the silicate is preferably selected from the group consisting of sodium silicate or potassium silicate from the practical point of view.

- 4 -

Similarly, the selection of the metal ion X is not particularly limited, provided that the metal ion has a valence of less than or equal to +4, and can be incorporated into the silicate matrix. Preferably, the metal ion is of an element selected from the group
5 consisting of Al, B, Zr and Ti.

The coating solution may have a concentration of water soluble silicate from 1 ppm to the dispersion limit and a ratio of X to Si from 4:1 to 1:100. Preferably the ratio of X to Si is from 1:1 to 1:50.

10

In a particular preferred embodiment, the solution includes one or more optional additional components as a potential "active" corrosion inhibitor, preferably selected from the rare earths (lanthanides) or the transition metals (as defined in Cotton et al., 1999), such as but not limited to Ce, Mo, W, Mn or V, but most preferably Ce. It has been found
15 that additional ions such as cerium ions assist in balancing the ion exchange abilities of the metal ion X substituted silicate in aqueous solution and are incorporated into the coating structure in such a way that the ions, such as cerium ions, are held within the coating until coating breakdown occurs. However, some of the bound cerium is able to be ion-exchange and therefore provide active corrosion protection.

20

According to another aspect of the present invention there is provided a method for the treatment of a metal surface, including applying to the metal surface an aqueous coating solution containing a water soluble silicate and at least one metal ion X selected from those having a valence of less than or equal to +4, thus forming a coating layer on the
25 metal surface having a silicate network with at least some of the Si atoms in the silicate network being replaced with said metal ions X and incorporating metal ions Y from the metal surface being coated.

The various options as discussed above relating to the solution composition of the
30 invention also apply to this aspect of the invention.

In one particular embodiment, the treatment of the metal surface includes applying to the metal surface an aqueous solution comprised of silicate ions and aluminium ions to coat the surface of the metal substrate with an aluminosilicate coating. In this embodiment, the metal surface preferably includes a zinc-containing metal surface, which may be zinc, a zinc alloy or a galvanised metal surface. In this embodiment, as the aluminosilicate coating binds to the metal surface, zinc ions may diffuse into the aluminosilicate coating structure so as to form a matrix including silicate ions, aluminium ions and diffused zinc ions. It is also envisaged that other metal substrates may provide a similar mechanism for providing a preferred corrosion resistant coating. For example, the metal surface may include aluminium, magnesium, copper, iron, titanium or their alloys. The metal surface refers to the surface of the metal, an alloy of the metal or a metal or its alloy coated on a different substrate.

According to one embodiment, an aluminosilicate coating having a pH_{IEP} from 2 to 2.5 is applied to the metal surface from an aqueous solution containing silicate ions and aluminium ions. This may be achieved by any suitable means. For example by spraying, painting or dipping. In a preferred embodiment, an aqueous solution comprised of silicate ions and aluminium ions, and further including Ce(IV)/Ce(III) ions as an optional additional corrosion inhibitor, is applied to the metal surface. In one embodiment, zinc metal, or zinc containing metal, is dipped in the above solution. This results in the production of zinc ions at the metal surface forming a diffusion layer. The pH in the diffusion layer near the metal surface rises and results in the formation of an aluminosilicate coating on the metal surface. The zinc ions in the diffusion layer are incorporated into the aluminosilicate coating to form a stable matrix comprised of aluminium, silicon, zinc, and cerium oxides.

Thus, according to a preferred embodiment of the invention, the method includes dipping a zinc-containing metal in an aqueous solution comprised of silicate ions and aluminium ions, and optionally including cerium ions, to form a diffusion layer at the metal surface, and for a time sufficient for an aluminosilicate coating to form on the metal

surface. The aluminosilicate coating including within its structure zinc ions diffused from the zinc-containing metal surface, and optionally cerium ions.

According to another embodiment, an aqueous solution comprised of silicate ions and aluminium ions, preferably containing cerium ions, is prepared and a galvanized metal surface, such as galvanized steel, is dipped into a bath of the aqueous solution. Preferably, the galvanized metal surface is a freshly galvanized metal surface straight out of the molten zinc bath and quenched in the aqueous coating solution. The aqueous coating solution being used as a quench bath for the hot galvanized item. The aqueous coating quench bath is thereby heated upon dipping of the hot galvanized substrate into the bath. The above-described mechanism including diffusion and incorporation of zinc ions into the aluminosilicate coating is considered to hold true according to this embodiment of the invention.

An example of the coating composition that results from a preferred embodiment is $\text{Al}_{(a)}\text{Si}_{(b)}\text{Zn}_{(c)}\text{Ce}_{(d)}\text{O}_x$ where $0 < a \leq 1$, $0 < b \leq 1$, $0 < c \leq 1$, $0 \leq d \leq 1$ and $a + b + c + d = 1$, with the overall concentration of the aqueous components ranging from 1ppm to 20wt%.

According to a further aspect of the invention there is provided a metal surface having an aluminosilicate coating, the coating further including diffused metal ions, which have diffused from the metal surface into the aluminosilicate coating during application of the coating to the metal surface. The coating that results has a pH_{IEP} of less than 3.5 at the atmosphere-coating surface and is thus capable of repelling anions in surface water of pH values greater than 3.5.

25

It will be understood that this aspect of the invention follows from the above-described methods of treatment and coating. As such, the preferred features as described above also apply to this aspect of the invention.

In this regard, in a particular embodiment, the metal surface includes a zinc-containing surface and the aluminosilicate coating preferably includes cerium ions as an optional additional corrosion inhibitor.

- 5 Embodiments of the invention will now be exemplified in more detail. These examples are provided for exemplification only and should not be construed as limiting on the invention in any way.

EXAMPLE 1

10

The performance of a cerium containing aluminosilicate coating on room temperature pure rolled zinc plates was determined in a 290 hour neutral salt spray (NSS) test and compared to blank uncoated zinc (Table 1). The coating was formed from a 10 minute immersion in a 1% solution with an elemental ratio of 1:1:5 with respect to Ce, Al and Si. The NSS test
15 was conducted according to AS 2331 with number of pits visible to the naked eye and mass loss results averaged from three replicate samples. The plates were 10cm x 15cm and 1mm thick.

Table 1: Coating performance in 290 hour NSS on pure rolled zinc plates.

Sample	Average # Pits / Plate	Average Mass Loss (g/m ² /day)
CSIRO Coating 1	25	7.8
Blank Zinc	90	15.7

20

EXAMPLE 2

Pure rolled zinc plates were heated to 200°C before being quenched in the coating
25 solutions and assessed by NSS as in example 1 (Table 2). The CSIRO Coatings 2, 3 and 4 were from 1% solutions with an elemental ratio of 1:1:5 with respect to Ce, Al and Si, with the solutions forming coatings 3 and 4 containing a majority of Ce(IV) ions through addition of hydrogen peroxide. CSIRO Coatings 2 and 3 were immersed in the coating

solution for 2 minutes, while CSIRO Coating 4 was immersed for 5 seconds. Chromate Coating 1 was formed by a 2 minute immersion in a 0.16% chromate solution made from sodium dichromate.

5 Table 2: Coating performance in 312 hour NSS on heated pure rolled zinc plates.

Sample	Average # Pits / Plate	Average Mass Loss (g/m ² /day)
CSIRO Coating 2	90	23.3
CSIRO Coating 3	15	19.5
CSIRO Coating 4	0	8.0
Chromate Coating 1	90	22.3
Blank Zinc	90	23.1

EXAMPLE 3

- 10 Coating performance on pure rolled zinc plates was assessed using a 500 hour NSS test (as in examples 1 and 2), a 2 year field exposure and paint adhesion (Table 3). The average salt deposition for the marine field exposure site is about 100 mg/m²·day as measured according to ISO 9225 and results are averaged from three plates. Plates were painted with silicone-enriched epoxy enamel after drying the coatings for 1 day at room temperature.
- 15 Paint adhesion was performed one week after painting and was of the crosscut tape pull type in three positions on one plate. CSIRO Coating 5 was from a room temperature plate immersed in a 1% 1:1:5 ratio Ce:Al:Si solution for 2 minutes. CSIRO Coatings 6, 7 and 8 were from 200°C heated plates immersed in 1:1:5 ratio Ce:Al:Si solutions for 15 seconds. CSIRO Coatings 6 and 7 were from 1% solutions, while 8 was from a 0.1% solution.
- 20 CSIRO Coating 6 was from a predominantly Ce(III) solution. Chromate Coating 2 was from a 200°C plate immersed for 15 seconds in a 0.16% chromate solution made from sodium dichromate. Blank Galvanized Z275 was a commercially available galvanized steel sheet for comparison.

Table 3: Coating performance in 500 hour NSS, 2 year field exposure and paint adhesion tests on pure rolled zinc plates.

Sample	NSS Performance		Field Exposure Performance		Paint Adhesion
	Average # Pits / Plate	Average Mass Loss (g/m ² /day)	Average Mass Loss (mg/m ² /day)	Average Corrosion Rate (µm/year)	% Paint Removed
CSIRO Coating 5	1	5.2	81.4	4.2	< 5
CSIRO Coating 6	5	5.6	58.5	2.9	35-65
CSIRO Coating 7	5	6.5	56.1	3.0	0
CSIRO Coating 8	80	15.1	75.7	3.9	0
Chromate Coating 2	5	5.8	62.9	3.2	5-15
Blank Zinc	70	15.6	76.6	3.9	5-15
Blank Galvanized Z275	20	8.5	87.1	4.4	15-35

5 EXAMPLE 4

Galvanized steel quench coating performance of cerium containing aluminosilicate coatings were compared to chromate coatings in both neutral salt spray and stack tests (Table 4). Galvanized steel quench coating involved immersing the cleaned and fluxed (zinc ammonium chloride) steel plate in molten zinc at 450°C to galvanizes the sample, and upon withdrawal, immersing the hot sample in the quench coating solution for five seconds. The cerium containing aluminosilicate coating (CSIRO Galv Quench 1) was formed from a 0.1% solution with an elemental ratio of 1:1:5 with respect to Ce, Al and Si. The Chromate quench was carried out in a standard 0.05% chromate quench solution made from sodium dichromate. The NSS test was for 72 hours and conducted as per previous examples, while the "stack" test was an industrial specification with the following details.

- 10 -

Two sets of paired plates are stacked together and placed in a 100% RH chamber and cycled between 25°C (6 hours) and 10°C (2 hours) for 30 cycles (240 hours). The steel plates were 10cm x 15cm, and 1mm thick before galvanizing. The results demonstrates that the CSIRO quench coating gave performance that matched the standard chromate quench coating while both preformed significantly better than the water quenched galvanised steel samples.

Table 4: Galvanized steel quench coating performance in 72 hour NSS and 240 hour stack tests.

Sample	NSS Performance		Stack Test
	Average # Pits / Plate	Average Mass Loss (g/m ² /day)	Average Mass Gain (mg)
CSIRO Galv Quench 1	0	2.7	1.5
Galv Chromate Quench 1	3	4.0	2.0
Galv Water Quench 1	*	34.2	49.6

* Significant zinc removal so number of pits can not be counted

EXAMPLE 5

Galvanized quench coating performance of different solution compositions was tested with NSS and condensation tests (Table 5) as per example 4, except the "stack" test was modified to a "condensation" test in which two sets of only one plate was used, everything else being the same. A synergistic effect between silicate ions and aluminium ions can clearly be seen in either acidic or alkaline conditions. CSIRO Galv Quench 2 was a 0.1% 1:1:5 ratio Ce:Al:Si solution and Galv Chromate Quench 2 was a standard 0.05% chromate quench solution made from sodium dichromate.

Table 5: Galvanized steel quench coating performance in 72 hour NSS and 240 hour condensation tests.

Sample	NSS Performance		Condensation Test
	Average # Pits / Plate	Average Mass Loss (g/m ² /day)	Average Mass Gain (mg)
Basic Silicate Ions	20	10.7	71.6
Acidic Silicate Ions	2	9.3	26.7
Acidic Aluminium Ions	*	36.8	6.2
Basic Aluminium Ions	*	34.5	45.1
Acidic Silicate + Aluminium Ions	0	2.8	8.7
Basic Silicate + Aluminium Ions	0	5.1	23.4
CSIRO Galv Quench 2	0	1.9	16.6
Galv Chromate Quench 2	5	8.3	0

* Significant zinc removal so number of pits can not be counted

5

EXAMPLE 6

Galvanized steel quench coating performance was tested as a function of sample immersion time in the quench solution by NSS and condensation tests as per example 5 (Table 6). The CSIRO quench solutions were a 0.1% 1:1:5 ratio Ce:Al:Si solution and the Chromate quench solution was a standard 0.05% chromate solution.

15

Table 6: Galvanized steel quench coating performance in 72 hour NSS and 240 hour condensation tests as a function of immersion time in quench solution.

Sample	Immersion Time (s)	NSS Performance		Condensation Test
		Average # Pits / Plate	Average Mass Loss (g/m ² /day)	Average Mass Gain (mg)
CSIRO	5	0	1.6	8.7
CSIRO	30	0	2.0	13.6
CSIRO	90	0	2.1	9.5
Chromate	5	5	1.0	7.2

5 EXAMPLE 7

Galvanized steel quench coating performance was assessed by paint adhesion tests as a function of time after quenching before painting. Quench coated samples were atmospherically dried under laboratory conditions (about 18°C and 50% RH) for up to 3 months before being painted and tested as per example 3 (Table 7). CSIRO Galv Quench 3 was a 0.1% 1:1:5 ratio Ce:Al:Si solution, while Galv Chromate Quench 3 was a 0.05% chromate solution from sodium dichromate. It can be clearly seen that the current technology provides substantial benefits over the standard chromate quench coating in terms of quench coating stability over time before applying the paint coating.

15

Table 7: Paint adhesion performance of galvanized steel quench coating as function of drying time before applying the paint coating.

Sample	% Paint Removed					
	1 day	2 days	1 week	1 month	2 months	3 months
CSIRO Galv Quench 3	0	0	0	0	0	< 5
Galv Chromate Quench 3	0	< 5	15-35	> 65	> 65	> 65

Water Quench	> 65	> 65	> 65	> 65	35-65	< 5
Air Cooled	> 65	> 65	> 65	> 65	15-35	5-15

EXAMPLE 8

- 5 Galvanized steel quench coating performance was tested as a function of aluminium content in the molten zinc galvanizing bath and assessed using NSS and condensation testing as per examples 5 and 6 (Table 8). CSIRO Galv Quench samples were from 0.1% 1:1:5 ratio Ce:Al:Si solutions, while Galv Chromate Quench samples were from standard 0.05% chromate solutions. The current technology allows for a greatly broadened melt
- 10 aluminium content operating window for the galvanizer.

Table 8: Galvanized steel quench coating performance in 72 hour NSS and 240 hour condensation tests as function of aluminium content in the molten zinc galvanizing bath.

Al (ppm)	Sample	NSS Performance		Condensation Test
		Average # Pits / Plate	Average Mass Loss (g/m ² /day)	Average Mass Gain (mg)
98	CSIRO Galv Quench 4	2	3.1	0
93	Galv Chromate Quench 4	*	50.3	0
45	CSIRO Galv Quench 5	0	1.9	16.6
48	Galv Chromate Quench 5	5	8.3	0
3	CSIRO Galv Quench 6	0	1.6	0.6
3	Galv Chromate Quench 6	2	0.8	0

* Significant zinc removal so number of pits can not be counted

15

EXAMPLE 9

Galvanized quench coating performance was tested on individually quenched square hollow sections (SHS) with dimensions of 25x25x1.6mm and 20x20x2.0mm by 150mm

- 14 -

long bundled together in a 3x3 matrix using the condensation test as per examples 5, 6 and 8 (Table 9). CSIRO Galv Quench 7 and 8 were 0.1% 1:1:5 ratio Ce:Al:Si solutions, while Galv Chromate Quench 7 and 8 were standard 0.05% chromate solutions. Average mass gain is for the nine bundled SHS samples.

5

Table 9: Galvanized steel quench coating performance on 3x3 bundled SHS in a 240 hour condensation test.

Quench Coating	SHS Dimensions (mm)	Average Mass Gain (mg)
CSIRO Galv Quench 7	25x25x1.6	2.2
Galv Chromate Quench 7	25x25x1.6	4.3
CSIRO Galv Quench 8	20x20x2.0	10.4
Galv Chromate Quench 8	20x20x2.0	9.7

10 EXAMPLE 10

Cerium containing aluminosilicate coatings were applied to pure (>99%) metals of magnesium, aluminium, copper and iron and to aluminium alloy 2024, Galfan (Zn-5%Al) and Zincalume (Zn-55% Al) from solutions having a ratio of 1:1:5 for Ce:Al:Si. Coatings were visually confirmed and resistivity to chloride corrosion checked by depositing a single sodium chloride crystal on coated and un-coated surfaces and storing at 100% RH for 19 hours.

It is to be understood that, while the preferred embodiments of the invention have been described, it should be appreciated that the invention is susceptible to modifications without departing from the spirit or scope of the invention.

REFERENCES

- 25 Buchheit, R. G., Mamidipally, S. B., Schmutz, P. and Guan, H. (2000). "Active corrosion protection in chromate and chromate-free conversion coatings". In Proceedings of

Corrosion 2000. Surface Conversions of Aluminium and Ferrous Alloys for Corrosion Resistance, NACE, USA.

- 5 Cotell, C. M., J. A. Sprague, et al. (1999). ASM Handbook, Volume 5, Surface Engineering, ASM International, Materials Park, Ohio, USA.

Cotton, F. A., Wilkinson, G., Murillo, C. A. and Bochmann, M. (1999). Advanced Inorganic Chemistry 6th Ed., John Wiley & Sons, New York, USA.

- 10 Gray, J. E. and B. Luan (2002). "Protective coatings on magnesium and its alloys - a critical review." Journal of Alloys and Compounds **336**: 88-113.

Hinton, B. R. W. (1995). Corrosion prevention and control. Handbook on the Physics and Chemistry of Rare Earths. K. A. G. J. a. L. Eyring. Amsterdam, Elsevier. **21**: 29-90.

15

Iler, R. K. (1979). The Chemistry of Silica, John Wiley and Sons.

ISO 9225 (1992) "Corrosion of Metals and Alloys – Corrosivity of Atmospheres – Measurement of Pollution", International Organization for Standardization (Geneve).

20

Kendig, M., R. Addison, et al. (1999). "The influence of adsorbed Oxo-Cr(VI) species on the zeta potential in the porous oxide of anodized aluminium." Journal of the Electrochemical Society **146**(12): 4419-4423.

- 25 Reinhard, G. (1987). "Surface characterization of iron and steel prior to coating." Progress in Organic Coatings **15**: 125-148.

Sato, N. (1989). "Toward a more fundamental understanding of corrosion processes." Corrosion **45**(5): 354-368.

30

- 16 -

Sinko, J. (2001). "Challenges of chromate inhibitor pigments replacement in organic coatings." Progress in Organic Coatings **42**: 267-282.

5 Zhao, J., L. Xia, et al. (2001). "Effects of chromate and chromate conversion coatings on corrosion of aluminium alloy 2024-T3." Surface and Coatings Technology **140**: 51-57.

CLAIMS:

- 5 1. A coating solution for providing a corrosion resistant coating to a metal surface including:
a water soluble silicate; and
at least one metal ion (X) selected from those having a valence of less than or equal to +4; wherein said coating solution forms an aqueous silicate-X network such that
10 the silicate remains soluble, and wherein on contact with a metal surface (Y) a coating comprising of silicate-X and Y is formed.
2. A coating solution according to claim 1, wherein the coating solution is composed such that the resulting coating layer on the metal surface has a pH_{IEP} of less than
15 about 3.5 at the atmosphere-coating interface.
3. A coating solution according to claim 2, wherein the coating solution is composed such that the resulting coating layer on the metal surface has a pH_{IEP} of less than
20 2.5 at the atmosphere-coating interface.
4. A coating solution according to claim 1, wherein the water soluble silicate is selected from an alkali metal or ammonium silicate, meta-silicate, ortho-silicate, pyro-silicate, waterglass, silicic acid, silica, colloidal silica, silicon dioxide or an organic-silicate precursor.
25
5. A coating solution according to claim 4, wherein the water soluble silicate is selected from the group consisting of sodium silicate or potassium silicate.
6. A coating solution according to claim 1, wherein the metal ion X is of an element
30 selected from the group consisting of Al, B, Zr and Ti.

- 18 -

7. A coating solution according to claim 1, having a concentration of water soluble silicate of from 1 ppm to the dispersion limit and a ratio of X to Si from 4:1 to 1:100.
- 5 8. A coating solution according to claim 7, wherein the ratio of X to Si is from 1:1 to 1:50.
9. A coating solution according to claim 1, including one or more additional components as a active corrosion inhibitor.
- 10 10. A coating solution according to claim 9, wherein said additional component(s) are selected from the rare earths (lanthanides) or the transition metals.
11. A coating solution according to claim 10, wherein said additional component(s) are
15 selected from Ce, Mo, W, Mn and V.
12. A coating solution according to claim 11, wherein said additional component includes Ce.
- 20 13. A method for the treatment of a metal surface, including applying to the metal surface an aqueous coating solution containing a water soluble silicate and at least one metal ion X selected from those having a valence of less than or equal to +4, thus forming a coating layer on the metal surface having a silicate network with at least some of the Si atoms in the silicate network being replaced with said metal
25 ions X and incorporating metal ions Y from the metal surface being coated.
14. A method according to claim 13, including applying to the metal surface an aqueous solution comprised of silicate ions and aluminium ions to coat the surface of the metal substrate with an aluminosilicate coating.

30

- 19 -

15. A method according to claim 14, wherein the metal surface includes a zinc-containing metal surface.
- 5 16. A method according to claim 15, wherein the metal surface includes zinc, a zinc alloy or a galvanised metal surface.
- 10 17. A method according to claim 14, wherein aluminosilicate coating having a pH_{IEP} from 2 to 2.5 is applied to the metal surface from an aqueous solution containing silicate ions and aluminium ions.
18. A method according to claim 17, wherein the solution is applied by spraying, painting or dipping.
- 15 19. A method according to claim 14, wherein an aqueous solution comprised of silicate ions and aluminium ions and further including Ce(IV)/Ce(III) ions as an additional corrosion inhibitor is applied to the metal surface.
- 20 20. A method according to claim 19, wherein zinc metal, or zinc containing metal is dipped in the aqueous solution.
21. A method according to claim 13, including dipping a zinc-containing metal in an aqueous solution comprised of silicate ions and aluminium ions, and optionally including cerium ions, to form a diffusion layer at the metal surface, and for a time sufficient for an aluminosilicate coating to form on the metal surface, the formed
- 25 aluminosilicate coating including within its structure zinc ions diffused from the zinc-containing metal surface, and optionally cerium ions.
22. A method according to claim 21, wherein the zinc containing metal is a galvanized metal.
- 30 23. A method according to claim 22, wherein the galvanized metal is galvanized steel.

- 20 -

24. A method according to claim 22, wherein the galvanized metal includes a freshly galvanized metal surface straight out of the molten zinc bath and quenched in the aqueous coating solution.
- 5
25. A method according to claim 13, wherein the coating composition that results is $\text{Al}_{(a)}\text{Si}_{(b)}\text{Zn}_{(c)}\text{Ce}_{(d)}\text{O}_x$ where $0 < a \leq 1$, $0 < b \leq 1$, $0 < c \leq 1$, $0 \leq d \leq 1$ and $a+b+c+d = 1$, with the overall concentration of the aqueous components ranging from 1ppm to 20wt%.
- 10 26. A metal surface having an aluminosilicate coating, the coating including diffused metal ions, which have diffused from the metal surface into the aluminosilicate coating during application of the coating to the metal surface, the coating having a pH_{IEP} of less than 3.5 at the atmosphere-coating surface.

INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

International application No.
PCT/AU2003/001644

A. CLASSIFICATION OF SUBJECT MATTER

Int. Cl. ⁷: C23C 22/48, 22/53, 22/60, 22/68, C09D 1/00

According to International Patent Classification (IPC) or to both national classification and IPC

B. FIELDS SEARCHED

Minimum documentation searched (classification system followed by classification symbols)

Documentation searched other than minimum documentation to the extent that such documents are included in the fields searched

Electronic data base consulted during the international search (name of data base and, where practicable, search terms used)
DPWI: C23F 11/18, C23C 22/48-22/68, C09D 1/00, 1/02 and Keywords (Corrosion or corrod+, silic+ or waterglass+ or water glass+)

C. DOCUMENTS CONSIDERED TO BE RELEVANT

Category*	Citation of document, with indication, where appropriate, of the relevant passages	Relevant to claim No.
X	WO 1998/033856 A1 (ELISHA TECHNOLOGIES CO. LLC.) 6 August 1998 See page 9 line 24 to page 11 line 6, page 15 line 21 to page 16 line 5	1-11, 13-18, 21-23, 25-26 24
Y	WO 2003/012167 A2 (ELISHA HOLDING LLC) 13 February 2003	
P, X	See page 8 lines 7-18, page 10 line 10 to page 11 line 25	1-11, 13-18, 21-23, 25-26 24
P; Y	Derwent Abstract Accession No. 2002-345851/38, Class M13, JP2002047578 A (YUKEN KOGYO CO LTD) 15 February 2002	
X	See Abstract	1-11, 13-18, 21-23, 25-26 24
Y		

☒ Further documents are listed in the continuation of Box C

☒ See patent family annex

* Special categories of cited documents:	"T" later document published after the international filing date or priority date and not in conflict with the application but cited to understand the principle or theory underlying the invention
"A" document defining the general state of the art which is not considered to be of particular relevance	"X" document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered novel or cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is taken alone
"B" earlier application or patent but published on or after the international filing date	"Y" document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is combined with one or more other such documents, such combination being obvious to a person skilled in the art
"L" document which may throw doubts on priority claim(s) or which is cited to establish the publication date of another citation or other special reason (as specified)	"&" document member of the same patent family
"O" document referring to an oral disclosure, use, exhibition or other means	
"P" document published prior to the international filing date but later than the priority date claimed	

Date of the actual completion of the international search
29 January 2004

Date of mailing of the international search report
- 5 FEB 2004

Name and mailing address of the ISA/AU
AUSTRALIAN PATENT OFFICE
PO BOX 200, WODEN ACT 2606, AUSTRALIA
E-mail address: pct@ipaustalia.gov.au
Facsimile No. (02) 6285 3929

Authorized officer
ROGER HOWE
Telephone No : (02) 6283 2159

INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

International application No.
PCT/AU2003/001644

C (Continuation). DOCUMENTS CONSIDERED TO BE RELEVANT		
Category*	Citation of document, with indication, where appropriate, of the relevant passages	Relevant to claim No.
X	Derwent Abstract Accession No. 90-190215/25, Class L02 M13, JP 02-125884 A (MORIYA) 14 May 1990 See Abstract	1-10, 13-18, 21-23, 25-26 24
Y		
X	EP 488353 B1 (NIPPON DACRO SHAMROCK CO) 11 January 1995 See Claim 2	1-11, 13
Y	US 5068134 A (COLE et al) 26 November 1991 See Whole Document	24 1-26
A		

INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

Information on patent family members

International application No.

PCT/AU2003/001644

This Annex lists the known "A" publication level patent family members relating to the patent documents cited in the above-mentioned international search report. The Australian Patent Office is in no way liable for these particulars which are merely given for the purpose of information.

Patent Document Cited in Search Report		Patent Family Member			
WO 1998/033856		AU 40036/95	AU 62568/98	CA 2203181	
		EP 0788527	EP 0960171	US 5714093	
		US 5871668	US 5928796	US 5938976	
		US 6080334	US 6106741	US 6143420	
		US 6165257	US 6190779	US 6399021	
		US 2001024729	US 2003204666	WO 1996012770	
WO 2003/012167		US 2003118861			
JP 2002047578					
JP 2125884					
EP 0488353	JP 4198491				
US 5068134					
					END OF ANNEX